

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/330,446	06/11/1999	ROBERT M. FORD	9422	
7590 10/29/2003			EXAMINER	
GREGORY P SILBERMAN			. MEINECKE DIAZ, SUSANNA M	
KAYE SCHOLER FIERMAN HAYS & HANDLER LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
425 PARK AVENUE			3623	21
NEW YORK, NY 10022			DATE MAILED: 10/29/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)	The state of the s				
Office Action Summary		09/330,446	FORD, ROBE	ERT M.				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Susanna M. Diaz	3623					
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	s communication app	ears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence	e address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM								
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS C - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing dat - If the period for reply specified above is less - If NO period for reply is specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended p - Any reply received by the Office later than t earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF Status	communication. the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 e of this communication. s than thirty (30) days, a reply e maximum statutory period w heriod for reply will, by statute, hree months after the mailing	86(a). In no event, however, within the statutory minimu rill apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133	this communication.				
1) Responsive to communic	ation(s) filed on <u>25 A</u>	ugust 2003 .						
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b) <u> </u>	s action is non-final						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with Disposition of Claims	n the practice under <i>l</i>	Ex parte Quayle, 19	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 7-50</u> is/a								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are obje								
8) Claim(s) 1-4 and 7-50 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objecte	•		– .					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made		priority under 35 H	S.C. 8 119(a)-(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐	-	priority under de d	.0.0. 3 110(4) (4) 01 (1).					
·— ·— ·—		s have been receive	d					
	,							
<u> </u>								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)		- p	55					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P	g Review (PTO-948)	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Pape tice of Informal Patent Application ter:					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/330,446

Art Unit: 3623

DETAILED ACTION

1. Upon considering Applicant's claim amendments and arguments filed August 25, 2003, Examiner has determined that multiple distinct inventions are claimed. Upon election of an invention and a species, if appropriate, the Examiner will reconsider the existing art rejection in light of Applicant's arguments.

Election/Restriction

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-4, 7-14, 16-18, 20-35, and 39-50, drawn to a seller pricing and selling a commodity and insurance instrument to a customer, classified in class 705, subclass 4.
 - II. Claims 15, 19, and 36-38, drawn to the seller of a commodity and insurance instrument accepting bids from various bidders interested in purchasing the commodity and insurance instrument, classified in class 705, subclass 37.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as buying and selling any product from a vendor to a seller online (i.e., without offering a product up for bid). See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 09/330,446

Art Unit: 3623

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II and vice versa, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. Furthermore, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I: Directed towards the sale of a bundled commodity and insurance instrument (i.e., the ownership of the insurance instrument is transferred to the customer who purchases the commodity). Claims 4, 9-11, 13, 14, 17, 18, 20-35, and 41-50 are directed towards Species I.

Species II: Directed towards the sale of an unbundled commodity and insurance instrument (i.e., the ownership of the insurance instrument is not transferred to the customer who purchases the commodity). Claim 3 is directed towards Species II.

<u>If Applicant elects Invention I from above</u>, Applicant is also required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 2, 7, 8, 12, 16, 39, and 40 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

Application/Control Number: 09/330,446

Art Unit: 3623

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 6. A telephone call was not attempted because the requirement for restriction is complex. See MPEP § 812.01.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/330,446

Art Unit: 3623

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susanna M. Diaz whose telephone number is (703) 305-1337. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on (703) 305-9643.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1113.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(703)305-7687

[Official communications; including

After Final communications labeled

"Box AF"]

(703)746-7048

[Informal/Draft communications, labeled

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 22202, 7th floor receptionist.

Susanna M. Diaz Primary Examiner Art Unit 3623 October 28, 2003

Page 5